I am pleased to report that the William & Mary Law Review has enjoyed another successful year. Volume 55 includes 33 articles and 10 notes, totaling over 2,300 pages, and Issue 3 is devoted to the papers submitted in the 2013 Law Review Symposium, "The Civil Jury as a Political Institution." Thanks to the collective efforts of our staff and editorial board members, Volume 55’s impressive scholarship makes a meaningful contribution to the academic dialogue on a variety of legal issues.

In February, the Review and the Institute of Bill of Rights Law co-hosted their annual Symposium. Organized by Professor Zick, “The Contemporary First Amendment: Freedom of Speech, Press, and Assembly” gathered leading First Amendment scholars to discuss topics including the sources and scope of free speech protection, leaks of classified information, and government subsidization of speech. All agreed the event was a great success! Papers submitted at the Symposium will publish in Issue 4 of Volume 56.

While the success of Volume 55 is due to the hard work of many people, I’d particularly like to recognize the efforts of the other four members of the Executive Board. As Managing Editor, Katlin Cravatta has worked tirelessly to “keep the train on the tracks,” as he describes it, and he has been more than successful in doing so. Katlin was an incredible partner in running the Review this year. Our Executive Editor, M.C. Miller, has juggled many responsibilities, including managing the budget and planning countless events—most recently, the fun and festive annual Law Review banquet. She also successfully led the committee that planned and hosted the 2013 Joint Journal Competition. Ty Henry, Senior Articles Editor, managed the challenging articles selection process effectively and efficiently, filling Volume 55 with stellar legal scholarship. Finally, Senior Notes Editor Janet Sully oversaw “Team Notes” with great care; they selected ten thought-provoking pieces for publication and conscientiously guided the 2L staff members through the note-writing process.

As always, we relied heavily on the invaluable support provided by our administrative assistants, Julie Pasipanki and Lynn Murray. Volume 55 was very pleased to welcome Lynn to the Law Review team in May of 2013. Together, she and Julie have done an amazing job supporting the student editors. They’ve worked extremely hard to keep us on schedule, and we truly appreciate everything they do for the Review.

Thank you, also, to Volume 55’s 2L staff members for their remarkable efforts this year. We are thrilled to pass the reigns to such a capable and enthusiastic group and impressed with the progress of Volume 56 thus far. Jillian Schultz, the incoming Editor-in-Chief, has hit the ground running, and I am confident that under her leadership, the Law Review will maintain its high standard of excellence. I wish them all the best in the year to come.

In closing, I’d like to thank the Volume 55 editorial board and staff for their incredible dedication and hard work. I could not be more grateful to have worked with such a talented, committed group. It’s been an honor and a pleasure to serve as EIC, and I am extremely proud of the accomplishments of Volume 55.
My name is Jillian Schultz, and I am honored to serve as the incoming Editor-in-Chief of the *William & Mary Law Review*. I am a 2011 graduate of the University of Michigan, where I studied history and political science. I greatly enjoyed my first two years at William & Mary, and I look forward to the upcoming year working to make Volume 56 a success.

In Volume 56 we are excited to continue the distinguished legacy of the *Law Review*. We have selected Articles and Notes that we are confident will further legal scholarship. Issue 4 of Volume 56 will include Articles from the *Law Review*’s 2014 Symposium, “The Contemporary First Amendment: Freedom of Speech, Press, and Assembly.” The Symposium featured thirteen leading First Amendment scholars and topics ranged from technology’s influence on free speech to the role of national security in the free flow of information. The event was a great success, and we look forward to publishing these Articles in Spring 2015.

I would like to thank Cassandra Roeder and the Editorial Board of Volume 55 for their leadership and guidance over the past year. They have produced an excellent Volume. I also thank them for the time and effort they devoted to passing on their publication knowledge to Volume 56. I wish them all the best in the future.

The incoming staff for Volume 56 is a remarkable group, and I am honored to lead them in the upcoming year. We will strive to build upon the *Law Review*’s excellent legacy.

---

One of the best things about teaching at William & Mary is the opportunity to work with our students. I’ve just completed my seventh year as the *William & Mary Law Review*’s Faculty Advisor. It has been a singular pleasure to serve the law school and the *Law Review* in this capacity.

Cassandra Roeder continued the *Law Review*’s tradition of exceptional leadership. Congratulations to her on completing a very successful tenure as Editor-in-Chief. I also want to give special thanks to Sean Radomski, who was this year’s Symposium Editor. I was fortunate to work with Sean and other members of the *Law Review* in organizing this year’s symposium, “The Contemporary First Amendment: Freedom of Speech, Press, and Assembly.” More than a dozen leading First Amendment scholars from across the country participated in the event, which was held in late February. As a group, they praised the *Law Review* and its members, especially Sean and Cassandra, for organizing such a well-run and successful event. The participants’ contributions will undoubtedly bring some very positive attention to the *Law Review*. As in past years, the *Law Review* also published outstanding articles by a diverse group of authors on a variety of cutting-edge topics as well as a number of excellent student notes.

I look forward to working with the new EIC, Jillian Schultz, and with other members of the *Law Review*. I am confident that we will have another outstanding year.

Sincerely,

*Professor Timothy Zick*
Prior to the first day of law school, many incoming students are dreading the end of their relaxing, post-graduate summer. At this time in 1993, Paul Eckert, who would later become Editor-in-Chief of Volume 37 of the William & Mary Law Review and a 1996 graduate of the Law School, had just returned to the States from Somalia during the Gulf War. He describes himself as the “happiest, most sun-burned 1L ever to start classes.” This enthusiasm and gratitude for being a student at the Law School helped Eckert achieve great success as a law student and as a partner in WilmerHale’s Washington, D.C. office.

Eckert grew up in Prince George’s County, Maryland and graduated from Tulane University in 1989. After graduation, Eckert served as Navy Lieutenant on the USS Wasp, which is based out of Norfolk, Virginia. He was deployed to Somalia to support Operation Restore Hope, an initiative to create a secure environment for delivering humanitarian aid to the country. In 1993, Eckert left the Navy to attend law school. William & Mary was his first choice because of his love for the Tidewater area, and Williamsburg in particular. Being a History major, Eckert appreciated the history and beauty of the campus and surrounding area.

As Editor-in-Chief of the Law Review, not only did Eckert feel a sense of accomplishment with the publication of each issue, but he also enjoyed working closely with his fellow Editorial Board members. Eckert recalls fondly Board meetings at the Green Leafe and working with Administrative Assistant Beckie Pasipanki who “rescu[ed] [them] from bedlam.”

Outside of Law Review, Eckert remembers his “irregularly scheduled” poker games with Professor Neal Devins. But perhaps his fondest memory of William & Mary is spending time with his fellow classmate, Deborah Krassy, who he “later convinced to marry [him].” They have three children: Charlie, 11, Graham, 8, and Blakely, 3. He spends his free time attending his sons’ sports games and learning the songs to the Disney movie “Frozen” with his daughter.

Eckert graduated from the Law School as a member of Order of the Coif in 1996 and was the recipient of the Lawrence W. I’Anson Prize. Upon graduation, he clerked for the Honorable William Garwood in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in Austin, Texas before returning to WilmerHale, where he was a summer associate.

Eckert is a prominent figure in securities law. As partner in the Securities Enforcement practice, Eckert represents firms and individuals under investigation by the SEC, the DOJ, state entities, and self-regulatory organizations. He has been a guest lecturer on emerging issues in the field and writes regularly on securities law topics. In addition, from 2006 to 2007, Eckert served as Associate Counsel and Special Assistant to former President George W. Bush, contributing to the firm’s long tradition of attorneys serving in the White House Counsel’s office. In that role, he helped oversee responses to oversight and investigative requests.

The close-knit, collaborative experience Eckert recalls from his time on Law Review is also an enjoyable aspect of his current position:

“I enjoy being able to walk down the hall or pick up a phone and get a take on an issue from a subject matter expert, a former government officer, a former law clerk to a judge or justice, or a recently arrived associate who brings a fresh perspective on an issue. It is a privilege that I don’t take for granted.”
The Contemporary First Amendment: Freedom of Speech, Press, and Assembly

A William & Mary Law Review Symposium

On February 21 and 22, the Law Review, in conjunction with the Institute of Bill of Rights Law, hosted its annual Symposium. This year’s topic, “The Contemporary First Amendment: Freedom of Speech, Press, and Assembly,” drew speakers on a wide range of First Amendment issues, including the production of speech, national security leaks, data privacy, commercial speech, and a comparative analysis of privacy and speech.

Professor Frederick Schauer kicked off the Symposium with a discussion of the growing trend of defendants who raise First Amendment defenses to offenses not previously considered to be covered by the First Amendment.

During the remainder of the Symposium, twelve eminent legal scholars from around the country presented and discussed their scholarship with audience members.

Papers from the Symposium will be published in Volume 56 of the William & Mary Law Review.

William & Mary Law Review Symposium co-hosted with the Institute of Bill of Rights Law

Participants

Frederick Schauer, David and Mary Harrison Professor of Law, University of Virginia School of Law
The Politics and Incentives of First Amendment Coverage

Gregory Magarian, Professor of Law, Israel Treiman Faculty Fellow 2013–2014, Washington University School of Law
The Marrow of Tradition: The Roberts Court and Categorical First Amendment Speech Exclusions

Mark Tushnet, William Nelson Cromwell Professor of Law, Harvard Law School
Internet Exceptionalism: A View from 30,000 Feet

Ashutosh Bhagwat, Professor of Law, UC Davis School of Law
Producing Speech

Heidi Kitrosser, Professor of Law, University of Minnesota Law School
Prosecuting Leakers While Protecting the Press: Tensions and Recent Developments

Mary-Rose Papandrea, Professor of Law, Boston College Law School
National Security Leaks and the Role of Intent

Julie Cohen, Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center
The Zombie First Amendment: Property, Privacy, and Speech in the Information Economy

Neil Richards, Professor of Law, Washington University School of Law
Why Data Privacy Law Is (Mostly) Constitutional

Martin Redish, Louis and Harriet Ancel Professor of Law and Public Policy, Northwestern University School of Law
The Right of Publicity and the First Amendment in the Modern Age of Commercial Speech

Leslie Kendrick, Professor of Law, University of Virginia School of Law
Freedom of Speech and Economic Regulations

Joseph Blocher, Professor of Law, Duke University School of Law
New Problems for Subsidized Speech

John Inazu, Professor of Law, Washington University School of Law
The First Amendment’s Public Forum

Ronald Krotoszynski, John S. Stone Chairholder of Law and Director of Research, University of Alabama School of Law
Reconciling Privacy and Speech: A Comparative Legal Analysis
The *Law Review* is proud that its members maintain successful personal and professional lives outside of the Wolf Law Library. Here is a sampling of Volume 55’s many accomplishments from the last year.

**BIRTHS**

**James Booth (3L)** and his wife, Caroline, welcomed their first child, Margaret Leigh Booth, on December 28, 2013.

**WEDDINGS**

**Max Meese (2L)** married Morgan Laubach on June 29, 2013.

**Mike Beville (2L)** married Kelsey Beville (W&M Masters in Education Alumni) on July 6, 2013.

**ENGAGEMENTS**

**Cassandra Roeder (3L)** and Keith McWhorter are engaged to be married on May 25, 2014.

**Liz Barry (2L)** and Chad Heddleston are engaged to be married on August 2, 2014.

**PUBLICATIONS AND WRITING AWARDS**

**Emily Wilson and Alex Lott (3Ls)** were named Benjamin Rush Scholars.

**Matthew Chiarello (2L)** won the Frank Shatz Prize for Best Article for *A Seat at the Negotiating Table: A Case Study of Northern Irish Rebel Groups*, which was published in the *Monitor Journal of International Studies*.

**TRIAL TEAM**

**Nate Kinard (2L)** won first place at the ABA Labor and Employment Law Trial Advocacy Competition, DC Region.

**Andrew King (3L), Max Meese (2L), and Kang He (2L)** placed 2nd at the ABA Labor and Employment Law Trial Advocacy Competition, D.C. Region. They, along with Nate Kinard, qualified to go to the national ABA competition in San Francisco with all regional winners.

**MOOT COURT**

**Katlin Cravatta and Kevin Elliker (3Ls)** competed at the Vanderbilt First Amendment Moot Court Competition and were semifinalists.

**Kevin Elliker (3L)** was also a semifinalist at the New York City Bar Association Regional Moot Court Tournament in Richmond, VA.

**Chris Kaltsas and Gus Johannsen (2Ls)** won “Best New Team” at the Manfred Lachs Space Law Moot Court Tournament.

**OTHER**

**Ann Zachariah (3L)** will be the Drapers’ Scholar for 2014–2015.

**Anjali Vohra, Kelly Martin and Peter Vogel (2Ls)** will be Legal Practice Fellows for 2014–2015.

**Charlie Brewer and Peter Vogel (2Ls)** were appointed Honor Council Associate Justices for 2014–2015.

**Debbie Wong (2L)** will be a Teaching Assistant for Torts.

**Kelsey Castleberry (2L)** will be a Teaching Assistant for Contracts.
Class Notes: 3L Destinations

Sarah Beason
K&L Gates, LLP
Washington, D.C.

Beth Budnick
Haynes and Boone, LLP
New York, NY

Katlin Cravatta
Foley & Lardner LLP
Orlando, FL

Kevin Elliker
Hon. John A. Gibney, Jr.
Eastern District of Virginia
Richmond, VA

James Graves
Stoel Rives LLP
Seattle, WA

Elizabeth Herron
Jones Day
Cleveland, OH

Nicholas R. House
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
Cleveland, OH

Meredith Hunt
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP
New York, NY

Alex Lurie
Hon. Frank J. Santoro
Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk, VA

Kayla McCann
McGuireWoods LLP
Charlotte, NC

M.C. Miller
Hunton & Williams LLP
Richmond, VA

Jenna Poligo
Drinker Biddle & Reath, LLP
Philadelphia, PA

Adam Prestidge
Debevoise & Plimpton
New York, NY

Sean Radomski
Hon. Michael J. Hogan
Mount Holly, NJ

Larsa Ramsini
Hon. David L. Russell
Western District of Oklahoma
Oklahoma City, OK

Cassandra Roeder
Hon. Christopher C. Conner
Middle District of Pennsylvania
Harrisburg, PA

Andrew Steinberg
Venable LLP
Washington, D.C.

Meghan Stubblebine
Hon. Robert S. Ballou
Western District of Virginia
Roanoke, VA

Emily Wilson
Government Accountability Office
Washington, D.C.
The Articles Committee had yet another successful year, selecting twenty scholarly articles from a field of over 2,500 submissions in 2013. Volume 55 includes outstanding articles on trademark, statutory interpretation, constitutional law, administrative review, the Fourth Amendment, family law, contract theory, and federal habeas corpus review. What follows is a sampling of particularly exciting articles.

Professor James Brudney of Fordham University School of Law and Professor Lawrence Baum of Ohio State University’s Department of Political Science offer a unique empirical analysis of the use of dictionaries by Supreme Court justices in *Oasis or Mirage: The Supreme Court’s Thirst for Dictionaries in the Rehnquist and Roberts Eras*. The article has already garnered attention from the Washington Post and drawn praise from Judge Richard Posner. Noting the dramatic increase in references to dictionaries since the late 1980s, Professors Brudney and Baum present an empirical analysis of both the frequency of the Court’s reference to dictionaries and how justices use them to support or undermine a particular line of reasoning. The study finds that justices are opportunistic in the way that they choose to use dictionaries: members of the Court are inconsistent with regard to brands of dictionaries, whether to use general or specialty dictionaries, and the relevant date of publication of the cited dictionary. Brudney and Baum suggest that the authoritative status of dictionaries is misplaced and that the Court ought to develop a coherent and explicit set of practices regarding dictionary usage.

Professor Glen Staszewski of Michigan State University College of Law takes up the “countermajoritarian difficulty” inherent in the process of judicial review in *Statutory Interpretation as Contestatory Democracy*. Recent civic republican political theory argues that government ought to balance the electoral mode of democracy, in which citizens’ preferences are aggregated through elections, and the contestatory mode of democracy, in which the views of minority voices are considered and used to impartially evaluate public policy. Drawing on these arguments, Professor Staszewski challenges the traditional view that courts can or should be mere faithful agents of the legislature when they interpret statutes. Instead he argues that statutory interpretation affords courts the opportunity to guarantee that the interests and perspectives of minorities are considered in policy making and that such a role enhances the democratic legitimacy of the courts.

In *Personal Curtilage: Fourth Amendment Security in Public*, Professor Andrew Ferguson of the University of the District of Columbia School of Law revisits the meaning of Fourth Amendment curtilage protections in the face of emerging technology like surveillance drones, license plate readers, facial recognition software, and body scanners. In American jurisprudence the theory of curtilage has expanded the protection of the home beyond the formal structures of the physical residence to a larger perimeter defined by positive actions taken by the property owner to signal protected space. Drawing on this tradition, Professor Ferguson develops a theory of personal curtilage which similarly extends protection to persons in public spaces who have affirmatively marked areas of personal autonomy or intimate connection. Such a modified doctrine, he argues, improves and clarifies the Fourth Amendment in response to the potential danger of sense-enhancing surveillance technologies.

Professor Justin Marceau of the University of Denver Sturm College of Law highlights a shift in the trajectory of federal habeas review in *Is Guilt Dispositive? Federal Habeas after Martinez*. As recently as the 1970s, critics of federal habeas—including Judge Henry Friendly—worried that questions of innocence had become irrelevant to federal habeas review as the courts focused narrowly on protection of constitutional rights. Professor Marceau suggests that over the past forty years, changes in substantive and procedural law have altered habeas review to the extent that a much different concern is now warranted: do guilty prisoners have any habeas remedy for constitutional violations? Citing the Court’s recent decisions in *Holland v. Florida, Maples v. Thomas*, and *Martinez v. Ryan*, Professor Marceau argues that the Court may be developing an approach to modern habeas review that prioritizes fair procedures over innocence.
The Scholarship of Volume 55

**Issue One (October 2013)**

**Articles**
- David S. Ardia, *Freedom of Speech, Defamation, and Injunctions*
- Mark Bartholomew, *Trademark Morality*
- F. Andrew Hessick & Carissa Byrne Hessick, *The Non-Redelegation Doctrine*
- Glen Staszewski, *Statutory Interpretation as Contestatory Democracy*

**Notes**
- Meghan S. Stubblebine, *The Federal Medical Loss Ratio: A Permissible Federal Regulation or an Encroachment on State Power?*

**Issue Two (November 2013)**

**Articles**
- Sarah Tran, *Cyber-Republicanism*
- William D. Araiza, *Flunking the Class-of-One/Failing Equal Protection*
- James J. Brudney & Lawrence Baum, *Oasis or Mirage: The Supreme Court’s Thirst for Dictionaries in the Rehnquist and Roberts Eras*
- Andrew Tae-Hyun Kim, *Rethinking Review Standards in Asylum*

**Notes**
- Scott Dranoff, *Tinkering with Speech Categories: Solving the Off-Campus Student Speech Problem with a Categorical Approach and a Comprehensive Framework*
- Janet C. Sully, *Precedent or Problem?: Alameda County’s Diversion Problem Policy for Youth Charged with Prostitution and the Case for a Policy of Immunity*

**Issue Three (March 2014)**

**Articles**
- Jason M. Solomon & Paula Hannaford-Agor, *Introduction: The Civil Jury as a Political Institution*
- Akhil Reed Amar, *Opening Remarks*
- Jeffrey Abramson, *Second-Order Diversity Revisited*
- Robert P. Burns, *The Jury as a Political Institution: An Internal Perspective*

**Notes**
- Christina S. Carbone & Victoria C. Plaut, *Diversity and the Civil Jury*
- Shari Seidman Diamond, Mary R. Rose & Beth Murphy, *Embedded Experts on Real Juries: A Delicate Balance*
- Valerie P. Hans, *What’s It Worth? Jury Damage Awards as Community Judgments*
- Herbert M. Kritzer, Guangya Liu & Neil Vidmar, *An Exploration of “Noneconomic” Damages in Civil Jury Awards*
- Alexandra D. Lahav, *The Jury and Participatory Democracy*
- Stephan Landsman, *Juries as Regulators of Last Resort*
- Ethan J. Leib, Michael Serota & David L. Ponet, *Fiduciary Principles and the Jury*
- William E. Nelson, *Political Decision Making by Informed Juries*

**Issue Four (April 2014)**

**Articles**
- Andrew Guthrie Ferguson, *Personal Curtilage: Fourth Amendment Security in Public*
- Christopher L. Griffin Jr., Frank A. Sloan & Lindsey M. Eldred, *Corrections for Racial Disparities in Law Enforcement*
- Michael Grynbeng, *More Than IP: Trademark Among the Consumer Information Laws*
- Joshua D. Hawley, *The Transformative Twelfth Amendment*

**Notes**
- Nicholas R. House, *Conflicting Property Rights Between Conservation Easements and Oil and Gas Leases in Ohio: Why Current Law Could Benefit Conservation Efforts*
- M.C. Miller, *Copyrighting the “Useful Art” of Couture: Expanding Intellectual Property Protection for Fashion Designs*

**Issue Five (May 2014)**

**Articles**
- David S. Han, *The Mechanics of First Amendment Audience Analysis*
- Joseph Landau, *Presidential Constitutionalism and Civil Rights*
- Justin Levitt, *The Partisanship Spectrum*
- Andrew Verstein, *Ex Tempore Contracting*

**Notes**
- Larsa K. Ramsini, *The Unwelcome Requirement in Sexual Harassment: Choosing a Perspective and Incorporating the Effect of Supervisor-Subordinate Relations*

**Issue Six (June 2014)**

**Articles**
- Rebecca Aviel, *A New Formalism for Family Law*
- Anthony O’Rourke, *Windsor Beyond Marriage: Due Process, Equality & Undocumented Immigration*
- David A. Skeel, Jr., *When Should Bankruptcy Be an Option (for People, Places, or Things)?*

**Notes**
- Cassandra B. Roeder, *Transparency Trumps Technology: Reconciling Open Meeting Laws with Modern Technology*
The Notes of Volume 56

Each year, students submit many excellent notes for consideration by the Notes Committee. Congratulations to the following authors whose notes have been selected for publication in Volume 56 of the *William & Mary Law Review*.


Karel Mazanec, *Capping E-Discovery Costs: A Hybrid Solution to E-Discovery Abuse.*


Emily Strider, *Civil Liability of Remote Third Party Texters in the Wake of Kubert v. Best.*


The Nation’s Top Law Journals

*The William & Mary Law Review* continues to be well regarded by the legal academy and the judiciary. The most recent law review ranking, compiled by Washington & Lee, places the *Law Review* as the twenty-first-ranked journal based on the number of citations to the *Review* in legal scholarship and judicial opinions.

1. Stanford Law Review
2. Harvard Law Review
3. Columbia Law Review
4. The Yale Law Journal
5. University of Pennsylvania Law Review
6. The Georgetown Law Journal
7. UCLA Law Review
8. Michigan Law Review
9. California Law Review
10. Virginia Law Review
11. Minnesota Law Review
12. Texas Law Review
13. New York University Law Review
14. Fordham Law Review
15. Cornell Law Review
17. Northwestern University Law Review
18. Iowa Law Review
20. Vanderbilt Law Review
21. William & Mary Law Review
22. Boston University Law Review
23. The University of Chicago Law Review
24. University of Illinois Law Review
25. Boston College Law Review
Alumni Donation Form

Don’t forget, donations to the William & Mary School of Law may be earmarked to *Law Review*.

Name ___________________________________________________________________________

Work Address ____________________________________________________________________

Home Address ____________________________________________________________________

Phone (day) _______________________________ (evening) ______________________________

Graduation Year _______________ Donation Amount _________________________________

Is there a specific need to which you would like your contribution applied?
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________

Comments/suggestions __________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________

Please make checks payable to “Marshall-Wythe School of Law Foundation” and indicate in the memo line that you would like your contribution to be applied to *William & Mary Law Review* activities. The Foundation is a 501(c)(3) corporation; any contribution is tax deductible.

Mail to: William & Mary School of Law
        Attn: Executive Editor, William & Mary Law Review
        P.O. Box 8795
        Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795

Thank you for your support of the *William & Mary Law Review*. Please feel free to contact us at (757) 221-3860 or lawreview@email.wm.edu.
Support Your Law Review
Order a Subscription

Subscription Request Form

Name ________________________________________________

Mailing Address __________________________________________

Phone (day) _______________________________ (evening) ______________________

E-mail ____________________________________________

William & Mary Law Review is published six times per year.

☐ $40.00 domestic rate for Volume 55   ☐ $8.00 per current issue domestic mailing
☐ $44.00 foreign rate for Volume 55   ☐ $9.00 per current issue foreign mailing

Absent receipt of written notice to the contrary, subscriptions to the William & Mary Law Review will be renewed automatically each year.

Please make checks payable to William & Mary Law

Mail to: William & Mary School of Law
Attn: Executive Editor, William & Mary Law Review
P.O. Box 8795
Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795